



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/034,415	03/04/1998	PASCAL MICHAUD	1798-7267	3308

7590

02/26/2003

FREDERICK F. CALVETTI
C/O SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL
1850 M. STREET, N.W.
SUITE 800
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER

CROSS, LATOYA I

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1743

DATE MAILED: 02/26/2003

25

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.

09/034,415

Applicant(s)

MICHAUD, PASCAL

Examiner

LaToya I. Cross

Art Unit

1743

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 24 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

- a) ☒ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
- (a) ☒ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 - (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
 - (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.

3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: none.

Claim(s) objected to: none.


Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,6-8 and 12-18.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.

8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.
10. ☐ Other: _____

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The "water removing" and "2% polyfluorinated alcohol" limitations have not been previously considered..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue that 2% polyfluorinated alcohol is not taught by Zisman and that Zisman teaches 1% polyfluorinated alcohol in the context of removing organic liquids, not removing water. In response, the Examiner continues to take the position that 2% polyfluorinated alcohol would be obvious over the teaching of using 1% polyfluorinated alcohol in Zisman, particularly since Applicants' own examples 6 and 7 shown at specification page 11 show that there is no significant difference in using 1% versus 2% polyfluorinated alcohol. The water removing results are essentially the same (91% water removal versus 92% water removal). With respect to Applicants' argument that Zisman does not teach an amount of polyfluorinated alcohol for water removal, Applicants' should note that at col. 1, lines 7-11, Zisman teaches that water on surfaces can be removed with the disclosed compositions. Although no specific examples show the amount of polyfluorinated alcohol to be used for water removal, the ordinarily skilled artisan would presume that the same amounts for organic liquid removal can be used for water removal. Further, Applicants' claims are directed to compositions, where patentability is determined by the components of the composition, not the function of the composition..


Jill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700